# Chess endgame compression via logic minimization

Dave Gomboc and Christian R. Shelton

University of California, Riverside CA 92521 USA dave\_gomboc@acm.org cshelton@cs.ucr.edu

**Abstract.** Chess endgame tables encode perfect information to inform heuristic search and permit error-free play once the root position is within them. We introduce a new approach to their minimization, and demonstrate better probe performance than the state-of-the-art.

Keywords: Chess · endgame tables · logic minimization

## 1 Introduction

Chess play has been studied for over a century, decades before computing science itself existed as a discipline [41,24,31,38,25,5,27,8]. Today, machines are substantially stronger Chess players than top human experts, and the same can be said regarding many other similar traditional human games.

#### 1.1 Game-theoretic error-free endgame play

A Chess endgame table (EGT) is a precomputed, known-correct source of information about Chess endgame positions. The first Chess EGTs were computed by Ströhlein [36]; seven-piece EGTs were first computed by Zakharov et al. [40] on the Lomonosov supercomputer, using tens of tebibytes. Chess engines that reach such pre-tabulated positions from within their heuristic searches can propagate back an exact score, which can be used either to improve on-line game play or to improve the accuracy and efficiency of off-line reinforcement learning [32] via endgame table rescoring [21].

## 1.2 State-of-the-art Chess endgame data compression

Syzygy [22] EGTs are predominantly used at present because they are more compact than any widely-available alternative, while also being acceptably efficient to query. Syzygy has coverage for positions where en passant is possible, but has no data for where some castling right exists. By design, Syzygy stores misleading values for positions containing legal captures that achieve better compression: correct querying of them requires the concomitant use of a capture-based quiescence search and minimaxing the resulting values. We use (an updated version of) Fathom [13] to provide these capabilities.

For each material balance (the set of pieces remaining, for each player) covered, Syzygy includes both a win-draw-loss (WDL) table, and a distance-to-zeroing-move (DTZ) table. WDL alone is sufficient to avoid entering a game-theoretically-suboptimal position and to determine the result of a game, as has been previously achieved in Checkers [30], so we do not consider DTZ further.

#### 1.3 Approach

A Chess EGT may be viewed as a partial function that maps a subset of Chess positions to game-theoretic outcomes. We employ two-level logic minimization to represent this function in a compact form. Binary decision diagrams [7] have been used for a related purpose in domains such as Connect Four [12]. In Section 2, we review two-level logic minimization, and explain how we encode Chess positions as logic bits. We discuss the experiments we undertake in Section 3, and summarize our contributions in Section 4.

## 2 Two-level logic minimization

Consider a partial function  $P : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^m$ . An equivalent total function  $T : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1,X\}^m$  exists, where an output of X indicates that we do not care which truth value is assigned to that output. The straightforward tabular representation of T would always contain  $2^n$  rows. For succinctness, we use a matrix representation  $M : \{0,1,X\}^n \to \{0,1,X\}^m$  of P, where an input of X indicates that the row is applicable regardless of the instantiated truth value of that input. Thus, a single row of matrix M with k inputs set to X is equivalent to specifying the  $2^k$  compatible rows of the tabular representation of T.

The union of the input vectors where any of the outputs is assigned to either 1, 0, or X is considered to be part of the ON-cover (or F, for function), the OFF-cover (or R, for reverse), or the DC-cover (or D, for "don't care"), respectively. Each such cover is the sum of clauses; each clause (or "cube") is the product of individual inputs.

**Definition 1.** Two-level logic minimization is the task of, having been provided with some matrix M that is consistent with P, identifying a matrix M' that is also consistent with P whose covers of interest have minimum cardinality.

We first discuss a few important algorithms from the electronic design automation (EDA) literature; see Coudert [9] for coverage of additional historically-important techniques. We then describe the mapping from Chess endgame table data to  $\{0, 1, X\}$ vectors and how to employ logic minimization in this context.

#### 2.1 MINI

The MINI logic minimizer [20] introduced the heuristic approach of iteratively improving cover cardinality via repeated cube expansion and reduction.

*Positional cube notation* As with one-hot encodings used in machine learning, positional cube notation (PCN) maps each specific value of an input variable to a different bit. Doing so permits efficient cube operations to be performed via bitwise logical operators. A multiple-valued input variable over the domain {ant, bee, cat} could be mapped as: ant  $\rightarrow 100$ ; bee  $\rightarrow 010$ ; cat  $\rightarrow 001$ . In contrast to a one-hot encoding, 111 is also valid PCN, representing "do not care". For each binary input variable v, PCN reduces to a bit pair  $\bar{v}v: 0 \rightarrow 10; 1 \rightarrow 01; X \rightarrow 11$ .

*Distance-one merging* Hong *et al.* [20] report using merging two cubes that disagree on only a single variable for "computational advantage", as in these three examples:

before	0X <b>1</b> 1100	10 <b>X</b> X 010	X0 <b>X</b> 1001
C.	OT7991 1 0 0	1 0 3 2 3 7 0 1 0	VOS21 001

MINI iterates over each such input variable once, updating the sorted ordering of M' prior to processing each variable to ensure that the clauses are ordered to permit all potential merges involving that variable via a linear scan through the product clauses.

*Expansion* Distance-one merging is a particular form of cube expansion, which is the process of enlarging a cube so that it (hopefully) includes as many as possible of the minimum product terms, or *minterms*, of M' that must be covered, while avoiding covering any product terms that must not be covered (the collection of which constitutes the *blocking cover*). Any cube that is completely covered by another may be discarded, thereby reducing the cardinality of M'.

*Reduction* Once expansion has occurred, many cubes that partially overlap may cover the same minterms. Cube reduction is the process of shrinking a cube while ensuring that it continues to cover all minterms not already covered by any other cube. This can allow the cube to later grow in a different direction for better overall minimization.

## 2.2 ESPRESSO

*Irredundancy* (Introduced by Brayton *et al.* [6]) While expansion alone can eliminate many cubes, it does not eliminate any cube that does not end up completely encompassed by a single other cube. The irredundancy pass within ESPRESSO's expansion-irredundancy-reduction main loop exists to prioritize the cardinality minimization of M' via the detection and removal of such cubes that are nonetheless redundant with respect to multiple other cubes in advance of performing any reduction that could cause an available opportunity for cube removal to be forfeited.

*Distance-one merging* Like MINI, the ESPRESSO implementation used does support the ability to apply distance-one merging across multiple variables of the ON-cover in sequence. Though this capability is not enabled by default, the espresso(1) manual page suggests its use.

## 2.3 Pupik

Pupik [15,16] is based on processing ternary trees that represent Boolean functions [14]. It repeatedly performs single-variable absorption and complementation to combine cubes. We observe that a single distance-one merge encompasses both of those operations, and that the full procedure described in Fišer *et al.* [15] is *precisely equivalent* to performing distance-one merging over F. The asymptotic analysis performed therein naturally does not account for practical benefits of the matrix representation such as its memory locality and amenability to bit vector operations.

#### 2.4 A simple position encoding scheme

The Chess position encoding we use retains the traditional top-level division of Chess endgame positions by their material balance to permit straightforward comparison with the substantial body of prior work. More importantly, the scheme selected is relatively *uninformed* about Chess. Not only do our input vectors contain no machine-learned features, they also fail to manually capture basic Chess notions such as whether the player to move is in check or has at least one legal move that can be played. We have stayed far away from using any sort of bitboard representation [1] that could cause logic minimization-based image processing techniques [4,11,29] to become applicable. No counters are used; even the specific material balance in use is not encoded. Furthermore, we make no application of concepts that might assist logic minimization itself such as multiple-valued variables or reflected binary (a.k.a. Gray) coding. By doing so, we hope to convince the reader of the generality of the compression technique.

Note the austere simplicity of this representation. Extensive efforts have been made to identify indexing schemes that include all legal positions for a material balance, but as few additional illegal positions as possible [19,37,18,23]. It is also common for multiple indexing order permutations to be attempted for each material balance: once it is determined which variant turns out to yield the smallest file size after a subsequent layer of block compression is applied, the necessary data required to select which scheme is to be used for decompression is recorded near the beginning of the file. Instead, we rely upon logic minimization to combine adjacent cubes with compatible outputs.

#### 2.5 Method

We construct one matrix M per material balance, where each row represents a (possibly illegal) position and its associated game-theoretic outcome. We then employ logic minimization to construct a more compact matrix M'. We begin with iterated distance-one merging. Then, one or more ESPRESSO operators (e.g., expansion) are applied.

To probe the game-theoretic value of a position, the query position is encoded as aforementioned. Then, the M' for the appropriate material balance is scanned linearly until a match is found. The output bits of the matching entry dictate the returned result.

## **3** Experimentation

We explore the trade-off between the minimization time and quality, then compare the resulting on-disk size and time to query endgame positions our method and Fathom.

## 3.1 Two- and three-piece endgame tables

Our first experiment manipulates three processing conditions while processing the twoand three-piece tables: whether iterated distance-one merging is or is not performed; whether a single expansion pass or the full ESPRESSO algorithm will be executed; whether or not canonicalization is used. This last condition is explained immediately below, followed by discussing the results of this first experiment.

*Canonicalization* Symmetries in Chess endgames (and in other puzzles and games) have long been exploited [26,2,17,33,34,35,10,28]. A simply example of symmetry exploitation is that the Syzygy (and our) EGTs do not include the a a b b material balance. When needed, the b b b b table is probed using the inverted position, and the result translated. Additional symmetries do exist, especially in pawnless endgames.

For each equivalence class of positions defined by the available symmetries for a material balance, we designate one in particular as the canonical representation for which WDL data is recorded. All other positions within the equivalence class are assigned exclusively to the DC-cover. The probing operation must then translate to the canonical position during querying.

Cumulatively, 194 602 clauses in F exist between the six endgame tables under consideration prior to iterated distance-one merging; versus just 29 920 clauses in F afterward. This early 6.5x reduction in cubes yields a significant processing time advantage for the immediately following expansions, and moreover, takes negligible time to perform. Accordingly, we always apply iterated distance-one merging in our experiments hereafter, and not just to F, but also to R and to D.

Canonicalization causes a large majority of positions in each endgame under consideration to be classified as don't care, which substantially increases the opportunities for cube expansion, and thus for ON- and OFF-cover cardinality minimization. We do acknowledge that the use of canonicalization effectively slips some slight amount of domain knowledge into our lossless compression algorithm. However, symmetry exploitation is not inherently Chess-specific, and this same (and even more) domain knowledge is also exploited by Syzygy EGTs, which serves as our standard for comparison. As with iterated distance-one merging, the benefit is so clear that we always apply this process hereafter.

Executing the complete ESPRESSO algorithm improves ON-cover cardinality versus performing only a single expansion pass, but the associated time penalty is noticeable. Thus, we proceeded to further investigate this tradeoff with larger material balances.



Fig. 1: (a) Time versus compression comparison for running ESPRESSO to completion versus performing just a single expansion and irredundancy pass. Each data pair, which is denoted by the dashed line connecting two dots, represents an endgame. The input to all endgames depicted was preprocessed using both iterated distance-one merging and canonicalization. (b) A comparison of the resulting cardinality of the ON-cover for the iterating versus the non-iterating treatment, using the same data as (a). Four-piece endings with two pieces per side tend to be more complex than those where one side has only a king, and so tend to require more cubes to be accurately represented.

#### 3.2 Two- through four-piece results

We now additionally include four-piece endgames in our exploration. The processing treatment that has been added is to apply iterated distance-one merging, expansion, and irredundancy, but without subsequent iteration of the ESPRESSO reduce-expandirredundant main loop.

We observe that applying a single irredundancy pass after the expansion pass is both quick and effective at reducing the cardinality of F. Figure 1(a) illustrates that iterating the main loop of ESPRESSO extracts improvements only at a relatively high cost in time; Figure 1(b) provides an alternate view showing clearly that the ON-cover cardinality reduction achieved for this extra effort is not great. Furthermore, there is every reason to expect that iteration time will increase with problem size.

Exerting additional effort to continue to reduce cover cardinality may be particularly valuable in the electronics manufacturing context. For example, simpler circuits are

associated with using either fewer lookup tables (LUTs), or less die space and power. However, the extra effort of iterating until improvement can no longer be found does not appear to be an efficient use of our limited processing power. Given our intention to obtain usable compressed PCN versions of larger EGTs as economically as possible, applying iterated distance-one merging, expansion, then irredundancy appears to be our best trade-off.

#### 3.3 Compression effectiveness



Fig. 2: Comparison of our method versus Syzygy WDL. (a) Table sizes: Each point represents one material balance; points above the diagonal line represent tables for which our method outperforms Syzygy. Note the log-scale: tables to the right and top dominate the total compression size. (b) Query performance: Joint density (across 10M random queries) of the query time for our method and Syzygy. Again, note the log-scales. Our method has a faster mean query time ( $39 \mu s$  versus  $138 \mu s$ ) and smaller standard deviation ( $41 \mu s$ , compared with  $258 \mu s$ ).

Each product clause in F generated when using iterated distance-one merging, expansion, and irredundancy is representable in PCN in 64 bits with room to spare. We generate a binary file per material balance containing each row in PCN sorted lexicographically, then compress it via xz -9 -e. Figure 2(a) contrasts the space consumed on disk by our method with the Syzygy endgame tables after being recompressed with xz -9 -e. For the 2-, 3-, and 4-piece endings, our method does require 47% more space than the recompressed Syzygy EGTs. Given that there has been a half-century of endgame table technology development leading to the Syzygy format, and that numerous opportunities to improve compression results using our novel method remain, we are comfortable claiming that this method of lossless compression has some promise.

### 3.4 Query effectiveness

We sampled uniformly with replacement to obtain ten million four-piece Chess endgame positions. We place a white king on any of 64 squares, then a black king on any of 64 squares, then any non-king on any of 64 squares, then select the side to move. Any non-legal position is then discarded. Note that castling and en passant rights are never present.

All ten million positions are first probed to verify correctness of the result returned. Afterwards, all ten million positions are probed a second time for timings capture. Fathom is used to probe Syzygy; our method linearly scans the minimized ON-cover for a matching cube.

Figure 2(b) shows the density plot of the joint distribution of query times. Densities above the diagonal are positions that took longer for Syzygy; those below took longer for our method. The mean time (above the diagonal) is shown, along with the marginal densities. Our probes are on average both substantially faster ( $39 \,\mu$ s versus  $138 \,\mu$ s) and exhibit lower variability (a standard deviation of  $41 \,\mu$ s versus  $258 \,\mu$ s), which demonstrates the viability of the approach.

## 4 Contributions

Logic minimization techniques have previously been applied widely within EDA, and also within image processing-like and stream compression contexts [39,3]. We provide a top-level explanation of two-level logic minimization, clarify some relationships between techniques described within its literature, and demonstrate superior probing performance when using this method to losslessly compress Chess endgame tables.

## Acknowledgments

Computational resources were provided through Office of Naval Research grant N00014-18-1-2252. The first author is also an employee of Google LLC, however, this research has been performed with neither awareness of any applicable insider Google LLC knowledge that might exist nor use of resources associated with that employment. Any statements and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of either Google LLC or the University of California; no official endorsement should be inferred.

### References

- Adel'son-Vel'skii, G.M., Arlazarov, V.L., Bitman, A.R., Zhivotovskii, A.A., Uskov, A.V.: Programming a computer to play Chess. Russian Mathematical Surveys 25(2), 221–262 (April 1970). https://doi.org/10.1070/rm1970v025n02abeh003792
- Allis, L., van der Meulen, M., van den Herik, H.: Databases in Awari. In: Levy, D., Beal, D. (eds.) Heuristic Programming in Artificial Intelligence 2: the Second Computer Olympiad. pp. 73–86. Ellis Horwood (1991)

- Amarú, L., Gaillardon, P., Burg, A., De Micheli, G.: Data compression via logic synthesis. In: Nineteenth Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC). pp. 628– 633 (2014)
- Augustine, J., Feng, W., Makur, A., Jacob, J.: Switching theoretic approach to image compression. Signal Processing 44(2), 243 246 (1995). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1684(95)00047-H
- 5. Bellman, R.: On the application of dynamic programing to the determination of optimal play in Chess and Checkers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **53**(2), 244 (1965)
- Brayton, R.K., Sangiovanni-Vincentelli, A.L., McMullen, C.T., Hachtel, G.D.: Logic Minimization Algorithms for VLSI Synthesis. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, USA (1984)
- Bryant, R.E.: Graph-Based Algorithms for Boolean Function Manipulation. IEEE Transactions on Computers 35(8), 677–691 (1986)
- Campbell, M., Hoane, Jr., A.J., Hsu, F.h.: Deep Blue. Artif. Intell. 134(1-2), 57–83 (Jan 2002). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(01)00129-1
- Coudert, O.: Two-level logic minimization: an overview. Integration, the VLSI Journal 17(2), 97–140 (Oct 1994). https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9260(94)00007-7
- Culberson, J.C., Schaeffer, J.: Pattern databases. Computational Intelligence 14(3), 318–334 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1111/0824-7935.00065
- Damodare, R.P., Augustine, J., Jacob, J.: Lossless and lossy image compression using Boolean function minimization. Sadhana 21(1), 55–64 (February 1996). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02781787
- Edelkamp, S., Kissmann, P.: On the Complexity of BDDs for State Space Search: A Case Study in Connect Four. In: Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2011, San Fransisco, California, USA, August 7-11, 2011. AAAI Press (2011), http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI11/paper/view/3690
- 13. Falsinelli, B., Dart, J., de Man, R.: Fathom. https://github.com/jdart1/Fathom (2015)
- 14. Fišer, P., Hlavička, J.: Implicant expansion methods used in the BOOM minimizer (2001)
- Fišer, P., Rucký, P., Váňová, I.: Fast Boolean minimizer for completely specified functions. pp. 122–127 (2008)
- Fišer, P., Toman, D.: A fast SOP minimizer for logic functions described by many product terms. pp. 757–764 (2009)
- 17. Gasser, R.: Solving Nine Men's Morris. Computational Intelligence **12**(1), 24–41 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8640.1996.tb00251.x
- Heinz, E.: Endgame databases and efficient index schemes for Chess. The Journal of the International Computer Games Association 22(1), 22–32 (1999)
- van den Herik, H., Herschberg, I.: The construction of an omniscient endgame data base. The Journal of the International Computer Chess Association 8(2), 66–87 (1985)
- Hong, S.J., Cain, R.G., Ostapko, D.L.: MINI: a heuristic approach for logic minimization. IBM Journal of Research and Development 18(5), 443–458 (September 1974). https://doi.org/10.1147/rd.185.0443
- 21. killrducky: TB rescoring. https://blog.lczero.org/2018/09/tb-rescoring.html (2018)
- 22. de Man, R.: Syzygy. https://github.com/syzygy1/tb (2020)
- Nalimov, E.V., Haworth, G.M., Heinz, E.A.: Space-efficient indexing of Chess endgame tables. The Journal of the International Computer Games Association 23(3), 148–162 (2000)
- v. Neumann, J.: Zur theorie der gesellschaftsspiele ("On the theory of parlor games"). Mathematische Annalen ("Mathematical Annals") 100, 295–320 (1928)
- Newell, A., Shaw, J.C., Simon, H.A.: Chess-playing programs and the problem of complexity. IBM Journal of Research and Development 2(4), 320–335 (1958). https://doi.org/10.1147/rd.24.0320

- 10 Gomboc, Shelton
- Patashnik, O.: Qubic: 4x4x4 tic-tac-toe. Mathematics Magazine 53(4), 202–216 (1980). https://doi.org/10.1080/0025570X.1980.11976855, https://doi.org/10.1080/0025570X.1980. 11976855
- Quinlan, J.R.: Learning efficient classification procedures and their application to Chess end games. In: Machine Learning: an Artificial Intelligence Approach. pp. 463–482 (1983)
- Saffidine, A., Jouandeau, N., Buron, C., Cazenave, T.: Material symmetry to partition endgame tables. In: van den Herik, H.J., Iida, H., Plaat, A. (eds.) Computers and Games - 8th International Conference, CG 2013, Yokohama, Japan, August 13-15, 2013, Revised Selected Papers. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8427, pp. 187–198. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2013)
- Sarkar, D.: Boolean function-based approach for encoding of binary images. Pattern Recognition Letters 17(8), 839 – 848 (1996). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8655(96)00045-1
- Schaeffer, J., Burch, N., Björnsson, Y., Kishimoto, A., Müller, M., Lake, R., Lu, P., Sutphen, S.: Checkers is solved. Science 317(5844), 1518–1522 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1144079, https://science.sciencemag.org/content/317/5844/ 1518
- Shannon, C.E.: A Chess-playing machine. Scientific American 182(2), 48–51 (Feb 1950). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0250-48
- Silver, D., Hubert, T., Schrittwieser, J., Antonoglou, I., Lai, M., Guez, A., Lanctot, M., Sifre, L., Kumaran, D., Graepel, T., Lillicrap, T., Simonyan, K., Hassabis, D.: A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters Chess, Shogi, and Go through self-play. Science 362(6419), 1140–1144 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar6404
- Stiller, L.: Parallel analysis of certain endgames. The Journal of the International Computer Chess Association 12(2), 55–64 (1989)
- Stiller, L.: Group graphs and computational symmetry on massively parallel architecture. The Journal of Supercomputing 5(2-3), 99–117 (1991)
- 35. Stiller, L.: Multilinear algebra and Chess endgames. Games of no chance 29, 151–192 (1996)
- Ströhlein, T.: Untersuchungen über Kombinatorische Spiele ("Investigations on Combinatorial Games"). Ph.D. thesis, Fakultät für Allgemeine Wissenschaften der Technische Hochschule München ("Faculty of General Sciences of Munich Technical University") (1970)
- 37. Thompson, K.: Retrograde analysis of certain endgames. The Journal of the International Computer Chess Association **9**(3), 131–139 (1986)
- 38. Turing, A.M.: Digital computers applied to games. Faster than Thought (1953)
- Yang, J., Savari, S.A., Mencercv, O.: Lossless compression using two-level and multilevel boolean minimization. In: 2006 IEEE Workshop on Signal Processing Systems Design and Implementation. pp. 148–152 (2006)
- 40. Zakharov, V., Maknhychev, V.: Creating tables of Chess 7-piece endgames on the Lomonosov supercomputer. Superkomp'yutery ("Supercomputers") **15** (2013)
- 41. Zermelo, E.: Über eine anwendung der mengenlehre auf die theorie des schachspiels ("On an application of set theory to the theory of the game of Chess"). Proceedings of the Fifth International Congress of Mathematicians 2, 501–504 (1913)